1	GREGORY A. ELLIS (SBN 204478)			
2	SCHEPER KIM & HARRIS LLP gellis@scheperkim.com			
3	601 West Fifth Street, 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-2025			
4	Telephone: (213) 613-4655 Facsimile: (213) 613-4656			
5	JEREMY P. OCZEK (Pro Hac Vice)			
6	BOND, SCHOENECK & KING PLLC jpoczek@bsk.com			
7	200 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900 Buffalo, NY 14202			
8	Telephone: (716) 416-7037 Facsimile: (716) 416-7337			
9	GEORGE R. MCGUIRE (Pro Hac Vice) JONATHAN L. GRAY (Pro Hac Vice)			
10	BOND, SCHOENECK & KING PLLC			
11	gmcguire@bsk.com jlgray@bsk.com			
12	One Lincoln Center, 110 West Fayette Street Syracuse, NY 13202-1355			
13	Telephone: (315) 218-8000 Facsimile: (315) 218-8100			
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants Philips Lighting North America			
15	Defendants Philips Lighting North America Corporation; and Philips Lighting Holding B.V.			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION			
18		il Old (III) WESTERN DIVISION		
19	PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-04995-R-AGR		
20	AMERICA CORPORATION and PHILIPS LIGHTING HOLDING B.V.	Action Filed: April 12, 2017		
21	Plaintiffs and	Judge Hon. Manuel L. Real		
22	Counterclaim- Defendants,	Magistrate Hon. Alicia G. Rosenberg		
23	V.	PLAINTIFFS' ANSWER TO		
24	DECO ENTERPRISES, INC. (d/b/a	DEFENDANT'S AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS		
25		COULTERININ		
45	DECO LIGHTING),	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
26	DECO LIGHTING), Defendant and	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
	DECO LIGHTING),	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		

Plaintiffs Philips Lighting North America Corporation and Philips Lighting Holding B.V. (collectively, "Philips Lighting"), by and through its undersigned counsel, responds to and answers the counterclaims of Defendant Deco Enterprises, Inc. (d/b/a Deco Lighting) ("Defendant" or "Deco") for declaratory judgment of alleged patent invalidity as set forth in Deco's Amended Answer to Amended Complaint and Amended Counterclaims (Dkt. 37) as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Philips Lighting North America Corporation admits that it is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and has a place of business at 3 Burlington Woods Drive, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803. All remaining allegations of paragraph 2 are denied.
 - 3. Admitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Admitted.
- 5. Philips Lighting admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Philips Lighting for this particular action. All remaining allegations of paragraph 5 are denied.
- 6. Philips Lighting admits that venue is proper in this Court for this particular action. All remaining allegations of paragraph 6 are denied.

COUNT I

(Declaration of Alleged Invalidity of '014 Patent)

- 7. Philips Lighting incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein all admissions, denials, and other statements contained within its answers to paragraphs 1-6 of the Amended Counterclaims, above.
- 8. Philips Lighting admits that an actual justiciable controversy exists between Deco and Philips Lighting regarding the validity of Claim 1 of U.S. Patent 6,094,014, but denies that Deco states any valid basis thereunder.

1	9.	Philips Lighting North America Corporation admits that it is the		
2	assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in the '014 Patent and that the			
3	'014 Patent was validly and legally issued by the United States Patent and			
4	Trademark Office.			
5	10.	Denied.		
6	11.	Denied.		
7	12.	Denied.		
8	13.	Denied.		
9	14.	Denied.		
10	15.	Denied.		
11	16.	Denied.		
12	<u>COUNT II</u>			
13		(Declaration of Alleged Invalidity of '890 Patent)		
14	17.	Philips Lighting incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein all		
15	admissions, denials, and other statements contained within its answers to paragraphs			
16	1-16 of the Amended Counterclaims, above.			
17	18.	Philips Lighting admits that an actual justiciable controversy exists		
18	between Deco and Philips Lighting regarding the validity of Claims 7 and 31 of U.S			
19	Patent 6,586,890, but denies that Deco states any valid basis thereunder.			
20	19.	Philips Lighting Holding B.V. admits that it is the assignee and owner		
21	of all right, title, and interest in the '890 Patent and that the '890 Patent was validly			
22	and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.			
23	20.	Denied.		
24	21.	Denied.		
25	22.	Denied.		
26	23.	Denied.		
27	24.	Denied.		
20	25	Danied		

COUNT III 1 (Declaration of Alleged Invalidity of '559 Patent) 2 Philips Lighting incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein all 3 26. admissions, denials, and other statements contained within its answers to paragraphs 4 5 1-25 of the Amended Counterclaims, above. Philips Lighting admits that an actual justiciable controversy exists 27. 6 between Deco and Philips Lighting regarding the validity of Claims 6, 10, and 11 of 7 U.S. Patent 7,262,559, but denies that Deco states any valid basis thereunder. 8 9 Philips Lighting Holding B.V. admits that it is the assignee and owner 28. of all right, title, and interest in the '559 Patent and that the '559 Patent was validly **10** and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. 11 Denied. 29. 12 13 30. Denied. 31. Denied. 14 15 32. Denied. Denied. 16 33. 17 34. Denied. 35. Denied. 18 36. Denied. 19 20 **COUNT IV** (Declaration of Alleged Invalidity of '399 Patent) 21 Philips Lighting incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein all 22 37. admissions, denials, and other statements contained within its answers to paragraphs 23 1-36 of the Amended Counterclaims, above. 24 Philips Lighting admits that an actual justiciable controversy exists 25 38. between Deco and Philips Lighting regarding the validity of Claims 7 and 17 of U.S. 26 Patent 7,038,399, but denies that Deco states any valid basis thereunder. 27 **28**

1	39.	Philips Lighting North America Corporation admits that it is the		
2	assignee and owner of all right, title, and interest in the '399 Patent and that the			
3	'399 Patent was validly and legally issued by the United States Patent and			
4	Trademark Office.			
5	40.	Denied.		
6	41.	Denied.		
7	42.	Denied.		
8	43.	Denied.		
9	44.	Denied.		
10	45.	Denied.		
11	46.	Denied.		
12	<u>COUNT V</u>			
13	(Declaration of Alleged Invalidity of '328 Patent)			
14	47.	Philips Lighting incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein all		
15	admissions, denials, and other statements contained within its answers to paragraphs			
16	1-46 of the Amended Counterclaims, above.			
17	48.	Philips Lighting admits that an actual justiciable controversy exists		
18	between Deco and Philips Lighting regarding the validity of Claim 1 of U.S. Patent			
19	8,070,328, but denies that Deco states any valid basis thereunder.			
20	49.	Philips Lighting Holding B.V. admits that it is the assignee and owner		
21	of all right, title, and interest in the '328 Patent and that the '328 Patent was validly			
22	and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.			
23	50.	Denied.		
24	51.	Denied.		
25	52.	Denied.		
26	53.	Denied.		
27	54.	Denied.		
28	55.	Denied.		

Defendant's Prayer For Relief 1 These paragraphs set forth the statement of relief requested by Defendant to 2 which no response is required. Philips Lighting denies that Defendant is entitled to 3 any of the requested relief and denies any allegations in the Prayer for Relief to 4 5 which a response is required. 6 ADDITIONAL RESPONSES TO AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS 7 8 First Additional Response 9 The Amended Counterclaims fail to state any cause of action upon which relief may be granted against Philips Lighting. 10 **Second Additional Response** 11 The Amended Counterclaims are devoid of merit due to the presumption, 12 13 pursuant to the Patent Laws, of the validity of Philips Lighting's Patents-in-Suit. 14 15 PLAINTIFFS' PRAYER FOR RELIEF TO DEFENDANT'S AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS 16 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Philips Lighting North America Corporation and 17 Philips Lighting Holding B.V. pray for the following relief with respect to 18 Defendant's Amended Counterclaims: 19 Dismissal of Defendant's Amended Counterclaims, with prejudice. 20 1. An award to Plaintiffs of their costs and attorney fees incurred in 21 2. defending against Defendant's Amended Counterclaims. 22 23 3. Such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate. 24 25

26

27

28

1	DATED: September 12, 2017	Respectfully Submitted,
2		BOND, SCHOENECK & KING PLLC
3		JEREMY P. OCZEK
4		GEORGE R. MCGUIRE
		JONATHAN L. GRAY
5		Pyr /a/ Innove D. One I
6		By: /s/ Jeremy P . Oczek JEREMY P. OCZEK
7		JEREMI P. OCZEK
		SCHEPER KIM & HARRIS LLP
8		GREGORY A. ELLIS
9		GREGORT A. LELIS
10		ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
		Philips Lighting North America Corporation
11		and Philips Lighting Holding B.V.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		7 Case No. 2:17-ov-04005-R-AGR